



**University of  
Zurich**<sup>UZH</sup>

**Zurich Open Repository and  
Archive**

University of Zurich  
University Library  
Strickhofstrasse 39  
CH-8057 Zurich  
[www.zora.uzh.ch](http://www.zora.uzh.ch)

---

Year: 2017

---

## **Reply to Perez and Patel**

Leimer, Nadja ; Zinkernagel, Annelies S

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiw504>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-141297>

Journal Article

Published Version

Originally published at:

Leimer, Nadja; Zinkernagel, Annelies S (2017). Reply to Perez and Patel. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 215(3):490-491.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiw504>

We next exposed infected host cells to lysosomotropic alkalinizing agents to examine whether neutralizing lysosomal pH would reduce the formation of SCVs. Analysis of an average percentage of SCVs from 3 independent experiments revealed that host cells treated with alkalinizing agents exhibited lower percentages of SCVs 7 days after infection (Figure 1E and F). In 1 of 3 events, however, there was a peak in SCV percentage at day 5 for ammonium chloride-treated host cells infected with *S. aureus* 6850 and *S. epidermidis* IDRL-8933, resulting in a large mean standard deviation at that time point (Figure 1F). No differences were observed in total colony counts between cells that were and those that were not treated (Figure 1D).

In conclusion, low pH and the intracellular environment promote the formation of *S. epidermidis* SCVs. Acidic environments, such as within lysosomes or phagosomes, may induce SCV formation for *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis*.

## Notes

**Financial support.** This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01 AR56647, R01 AI91594, and GM055252).

**Potential conflict of interest.** Both authors: No reported conflicts. Both authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Kimberly Perez<sup>1</sup> and Robin Patel<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Immunology, <sup>2</sup>Division of Clinical Microbiology, Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, and <sup>3</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota

## References

1. Leimer N, Rachmühl C, Palheiros Marques M et al. Nonstable *Staphylococcus aureus* small-colony variants are induced by low pH and sensitized to antimicrobial therapy by phagolysosomal alkalization. *J Infect Dis* 2016; 213:305–13.
2. Bui LM, Turnidge JD, Kidd SP. The induction of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm formation or Small Colony Variants is a strain-specific response to host-generated chemical stresses. *Microbes Infect* 2015; 17:77–82.
3. Kahl BC. Small colony variants (SCVs) of *Staphylococcus aureus*—a bacterial survival strategy. *Infect Genet Evol* 2014; 21:515–22.
4. Melter O, Radojevic B. Small colony variants of *Staphylococcus aureus*—review. *Folia Microbiol (Praha)* 2010; 55:548–58.

5. Johns BE, Purdy KJ, Tucker NP, Maddocks SE. Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of small colony variants and their role in chronic infection. *Microbiol Insights* 2015; 8:15–23.
6. Proctor RA, von Eiff C, Kahl BC et al. Small colony variants: a pathogenic form of bacteria that facilitates persistent and recurrent infections. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2006; 4:295–305.
7. Sendi P, Proctor RA. *Staphylococcus aureus* as an intracellular pathogen: the role of small colony variants. *Trends Microbiol* 2009; 17:54–8.
8. Tuchscher L, Kreis CA, Hoerr V et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* develops increased resistance to antibiotics by forming dynamic small colony variants during chronic osteomyelitis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2016; 71:438–48.
9. von Eiff C, Peters G, Becker K. The small colony variant (SCV) concept -- the role of staphylococcal SCVs in persistent infections. *Injury* 2006; 37(suppl 2):S26–33.
10. Tande AJ, Osmon DR, Greenwood-Quaintance KE, Mabry TM, Hanssen AD, Patel R. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of prosthetic joint infection caused by small colony variant staphylococci. *mBio* 2014; 5:e01910–14.

Received 22 September 2016; accepted 20 October 2016; published online 14 December 2016.

Correspondence: K. Perez, Department of Immunology, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, 200 First St S.W., Rochester, MN 55905 (perez.kimberly@mayo.edu).

**The Journal of Infectious Diseases®** 2017;215:488–90  
© The Author 2016. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.  
DOI: 10.1093/infdis/jiw503

## Reply to Perez and Patel

TO THE EDITOR—We thank Perez and Patel for taking our recent observation on *Staphylococcus aureus* small-colony variants (SCVs) a step further [1]. We reported that low pH and intracellular localization promotes *S. aureus* SCV formation and that the frequency of SCVs is reducible by phagolysosomal alkalization. Now Perez and Patel show that the same is true for select *Staphylococcus epidermidis* strains, including those derived from prosthetic joint infections [2].

The capability of *S. aureus* to reside and persist intracellularly is strain dependent, owing to differences in the presence and expression of virulence factors, and is also host-cell dependent [3]. This is most likely also true for *S. epidermidis*. The long-term *S. aureus* intracellular persistence model that we used in our study consisted of the human lung carcinoma cell line A549 and *S. aureus* strain Cowan. A549 cells

are very robust and only exhibit limited sensitivity to *S. aureus*-induced cell damage [4]. *S. aureus* strain Cowan is highly invasive and does not express certain virulence factors, resulting in reduced host cell damage [5]. By exchanging the tissue culture medium daily, we maintained the antibiotic pressure constant over the course of infection. Discrepancy between the numbers of viable intracellularly persisting bacteria in the study by Perez and Patel and ours, thus, is most probably due to the use of another host cell line and another *S. aureus* strain. The *S. aureus* strain 6850 used as a control by Perez and Patel has been shown to modulate phagolysosomal pH, escapes phagolysosomes, and is cytotoxic [6].

In conclusion, low pH and intracellular localization promote formation of *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* SCVs. The frequency of these SCVs is reducible by chloroquine. These observations are most likely also applicable to other intracellular persisting bacterial infections.

## Notes

**Financial support.** This work was supported by the Swiss National Foundation (grant 310030\_146295 to A. S. Z.).

**Potential conflict of interest.** Both authors: No reported conflicts. Both authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Nadja Leimer and Annelies S. Zinkernagel

Division of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Switzerland

## References

1. Leimer N, Rachmühl C, Palheiros Marques M et al. Nonstable *Staphylococcus aureus* small-colony variants are induced by low pH and sensitized to antimicrobial therapy by phagolysosomal alkalization. *J Infect Dis* 2016; 213:305–13.
2. Perez K, Patel R. *Staphylococcus epidermidis* small-colony variants are induced by low pH and their frequency reduced by phagosomal alkalization. *J Infect Dis* 2016. In this issue.
3. Strobel M, Pfortner H, Tuchscher L et al. Post invasion events after infection with *Staphylococcus aureus* are strongly dependent on both the host cell type and the infecting *S. aureus* strain. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2016; 22:799–809.
4. Seidl K, Zinkernagel AS. The MTT assay is a rapid and reliable quantitative method to assess *Staphylococcus aureus* induced endothelial cell damage. *J Microbiol Methods* 2013; 92:307–9.

5. Grundmeier M, Tuchscher L, Bruck M et al. Staphylococcal strains vary greatly in their ability to induce an inflammatory response in endothelial cells. *J Infect Dis* **2010**; 201:871–80.
6. Lam TT, Giese B, Chikkaballi D et al. Phagolysosomal integrity is generally maintained after *Staphylococcus aureus* invasion of nonprofessional phagocytes but is modulated by strain 6850. *Infect Immun* **2010**; 78:3392–403.

Received 10 October 2016; accepted 10 October 2016; published online 14 December 2016.

Correspondence: A. S. Zinkernagel, Division of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Rämistrasse 100, 8091 Zürich, Switzerland

**The Journal of Infectious Diseases®** 2017;215:490–1

© The Author 2016. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: [journals.permissions@oup.com](mailto:journals.permissions@oup.com). DOI: 10.1093/infdis/jiw504

## Codon 91 Gyrase A Testing Is Necessary and Sufficient to Predict Ciprofloxacin Susceptibility in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*

TO THE EDITOR—We read with great interest the article by Grad et al [1]. We agree with their conclusion that gyrase A (*gyrA*) genotype testing of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* is a valuable means of resistance testing; however, we believe that *gyrA* testing, specifically of codon 91, is both necessary and sufficient for predicting susceptibility to ciprofloxacin. There have been 11 studies (N=4777 specimens) comparing real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) genotype results with conventional antimicrobial susceptibility testing methods, all of which have demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity (93.8%–100% and 93.2%–100%, respectively). Positive and negative predictive values were similarly impressive (94.4%–100% and 87.5%–100%, respectively). Furthermore, 4 studies found that mutation at codon 91 of the *gyrA* gene as determined by RT-PCR was 100% specific for *N. gonorrhoeae* compared with other *Neisseria* species [2–5].

Other mutations have been shown to contribute to ciprofloxacin resistance, but previous studies have shown that other mutations in general occur in conjunction with a mutation in the *gyrA* gene [6, 7].

In addition, it is estimated that approximately 80% of *N. gonorrhoeae* infections in the United States are susceptible to ciprofloxacin [8]. Those 2 facts support the implementation of *gyrA* genotype testing to promote the use of targeted ciprofloxacin therapy. That may in turn reduce overuse of ceftriaxone. A recent article showed that treatment may be a major driver of ceftriaxone resistance in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* [9], which has been called one of the top 3 urgent threats to public health by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [10].

We developed a rapid codon 91 *gyrA* genotypic assay using RT-PCR techniques [6], and we verified the assay in accordance with Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments [2]. UCLA Health introduced that assay into routine clinical practice for all *N. gonorrhoeae*-positive specimens in November 2015. Further studies are underway to characterize the impact of that implementation.

## Notes

**Financial support.** This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health (grants R21AI117256 and R21AI109005).

**Potential conflicts of interest.** Both authors: No reported conflicts.

Both authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Lao-Tzu Allan-Blitz<sup>1</sup> and Jeffrey D. Klausner<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>David Geffen School of Medicine, Department of Epidemiology and <sup>2</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine and Fielding School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles

## References

1. Grad YH, Harris SR, Kirkcaldy RD, et al. Genomic epidemiology of gonococcal resistance to extended spectrum cephalosporins, macrolides, and fluoroquinolones in the United States, 2000–2013. *J Infect Dis* **2016**; 214:1579–87.
2. Hemarajata P, Yang S, Soge OO, Humphries RM, Klausner JD. Performance and verification of a real-time PCR assay targeting the *gyrA* gene for prediction of ciprofloxacin resistance in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *J Clin Microbiol* **2016**; 54:805–8.
3. Donà V, Kasraian S, Lupo A, et al. Multiplex real-time PCR assay with high-resolution melting analysis for characterization of antimicrobial resistance in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *J Clin Microbiol* **2016**; 54:2074–81.
4. Peterson SW, Martin I, Demczuk W, et al. Molecular assay for detection of ciprofloxacin resistance in

*Neisseria gonorrhoeae* isolates from cultures and clinical nucleic acid amplification test specimens. *J Clin Microbiol* **2015**; 53:3606–8.

5. Zhao L, Zhao S. TaqMan real-time quantitative PCR assay for detection of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *Curr Microbiol* **2012**; 65:692–5.
6. Siedner MJ, Pandori M, Castro L, et al. Real-time PCR assay for detection of quinolone-resistant *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in urine samples. *J Clin Microbiol* **2007**; 45:1250–4.
7. Kulkarni S, Bala M, Sane S, Pandey S, Bhattacharya J, Rishud A. Mutations in the *gyrA* and *parC* genes of quinolone-resistant *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* isolates in India. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **2012**; 40:549–53.
8. Kirkcaldy RD, Harvey A, Papp JR, et al. *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* antimicrobial susceptibility surveillance—the Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project, 27 sites, United States, 2014. *MMWR Surveill Summ* **2016**; 65:1–19.
9. Unemo M, Shafer WM. Antimicrobial resistance in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in the 21st century: past, evolution, and future. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **2014**; 27:587–613.
10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States, 2013. Atlanta, Ga: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2013.

Received 27 October 2016; editorial decision 4 November 2016; accepted 9 November 2016; published online 11 January 2017.

Correspondence: L.-T. Allan-Blitz, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, 10833 Le Conte Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90095 ([lallanblitz@mednet.ucla.edu](mailto:lallanblitz@mednet.ucla.edu))

**The Journal of Infectious Diseases®** 2017;215:491

© The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: [journals.permissions@oup.com](mailto:journals.permissions@oup.com). DOI: 10.1093/infdis/jiw551

## Reply to Allan-Blitz and Klausner

TO THE EDITOR—We thank Allan-Blitz and Klausner [1] for the citations to their group's work in this area and to the efforts underway to test diagnostics for quinolone resistance in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. Although our study investigated the genetic basis of resistance and assessed the positive and negative predictive values of specific mutations for resistance in the set of samples we analyzed [2], we take no position on the suitability of particular diagnostics. We note, however, that the US Food and Drug Administration has published guidance for antimicrobial susceptibility test systems [3]. The lower end of the range in negative predictive value cited by Allan-Blitz and Klausner (87.5%) is considerably lower than the 99% we observed,